Spill Prevacion Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form

(Note: Do not use this form if there is no secondary containment)

These Findings, Alleged Violations and Penalties are issued by EPA Region 6 under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(I) of the Clean Water Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990.

Company Name	Docket Number:			
Chaparral Energy	CWA-06-2014-4317			
Facility Name	★			
North Burbank Unit No. 83 Tank Battery	Inspection Number FY-INSP-SPCC-OK-2014-00045			
Address	Inspection Number			
701 Cedar Lake Blvd.	FY-INSP-SPCC-OK-2014-00045			
City:	Inspectors Name:			
Oklahoma City	Tom McKay			
State: Zip Code:	EPA Approving Official:			
OK 73114-7806	Donald P. Smith			
Contact:	Enforcement Contacts:			
Mr. Shawn Wiley (405) 426-4392	Misty Ward (214)665-6418			
Summary of Findings (Onshore Oil Production Facilities) GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3(a),(d),(e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), (c), (d) (When the SPCC Plan review penalty exceeds \$1,500.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,500.00.)				
No Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure I	Plan- 112.3\$1,500.00			
	(d)450.00			
Certification lacks one or more required elements-	112.3(d)(1)			
No management approval of plan- 112.7	450.00			
Plan not maintained on site (if facility is manned at	least 4 hrs/day) or not available for review- 112.3(e)(1)300.00			
No evidence of five-year review of plan by owner/o	operator- 112.5(b)			
No plan amendment(s) if the facility has had a char or maintenance which affects the facility's discharge	nge in: design, construction, operation, ge potential- 112.5(a)			

9490182

Amendment(s) not certified by a professional engineer- 112.5(c)...

Plan does not follow sequence of the rule and/or cross-reference not provided- 112.7	.150.00
Plan does not discuss additional procedures/methods/equipment not yet fully operational- 112.7	75.00
Plan does not discuss alternative environmental protection to SPCC requirements- 112.7(a)(2)	.200.00
Plan has inadequate or no facility diagram- 112.7(a)(3)	75.00
Inadequate or no listing of type of oil and storage capacity layout of containers- 112.7(a)(3)(i)	50.00
Inadequate or no discharge prevention measures- 112.7(a)(3)(ii)	50.00
Inadequate or no description of drainage controls- 112.7(a)(3)(iii)	50.00
Inadequate or no description of countermeasures for discharge discovery, response and cleanup- 112.7(a)(3)(iv)	50.00
Recovered materials not disposed of in accordance with legal requirements- 112.7(a)(3)(v)	50.00
No contact list & phone numbers for response & reporting discharges- 112.7(a)(3)(vi)	50.00
Plan has inadequate or no information and procedures for reporting a discharge- 112.7(a)(4)	.100.00
Plan has inadequate or no description and procedures to use when a discharge may occur- 112.7(a)(5)	150.00
Inadequate or no prediction of equipment failure which could result in discharges- 112.7(b)	. 150.00
Plan does not discuss and facility does not implement appropriate containment/diversionary structures/equipme (including truck transfer areas) 112.7(c)	
- If claiming impracticability of appropriate containment/diversionary structures:	
Impracticability has not been clearly denoted and demonstrated in plan- 112.7(d)	. 100.00
No contingency plan- 112.7(d)(1)	
 	150.00
No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials- 112.7(d)(2)	•
	•
No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials- 112.7(d)(2)	150.00 150.00
No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials- 112.7(d)(2)	150.00 150.00
No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials- 112.7(d)(2) No periodic integrity and leak testing, if impracticability is claimed - 112.7(d)	150.00 150.00
No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials- 112.7(d)(2)	150.00 150.00 75.00
No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials- 112.7(d)(2) No periodic integrity and leak testing, if impracticability is claimed - 112.7(d) Plan has no or inadequate discussion of general requirements not already specified- 112.7(a)(1) QUALIFIED FACILITY REQUIREMENTS: 112.6 Qualified Facility: No Self certification- 112.6(a)	150.00 150.00 75.00
No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials- 112.7(d)(2) No periodic integrity and leak testing, if impracticability is claimed - 112.7(d) Plan has no or inadequate discussion of general requirements not already specified- 112.7(a)(1) QUALIFIED FACILITY REQUIREMENTS: 112.6 Qualified Facility: No Self certification- 112.6(a)	150.00 150.00 75.00 950.00 00.00

WRITTEN. JCEDURES AND INSPECTION RECORD. 112.7(e)

	The Plan does not include inspections and test procedures in accordance with 40 CFR Part 112 - 112.7(e)	75.00
	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)	75.00
	No Inspection records were available for review - 112.7(e)	200.00
-	Written procedures and/or a record of inspections and/or customary business records:	
	Are not signed by appropriate supervisor or inspector- 112.7(e)	75.00
	Are not maintained for three years- 112.7(e)	75.00
	PERSONNEL TRAINING AND DISCHARGE PREVENTION PROCEDURES 112.7(f)	
- [No training on the operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent discharges- 112.7(f)(1)	75.00
	No training on discharge procedure protocols- 112.7(f)(1)	75.00
	No training on the applicable pollution control laws, rules, and regulations- 112.7(f)(1)	75.00
	Training records not maintained for three years- 112.7(f)	75.00
	No training on the contents of the SPCC Plan- 112.7(f)(1)	75.00
	No designated person accountable for spill prevention- 112.7(f)(2)	75.00
	Spill prevention briefings are not scheduled and conducted periodically- 112.7(f)(3)	75.00
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of personnel and spill prevention procedures- 112.7(f)	75.00
	FACILITY TANK CAR AND TANK TRUCK LOADING/UNLOADING 112.7(c) and/or (h-j)	
	Inadequate containment for Loading Area (not consistent with 112.7(c)) - 112.7(c)	400.00
	Inadequate secondary containment, and/or rack drainage does not flow to catchment basin, treatment system, or quick drainage system- 112.7(h)(1).	750.00
	Containment system does not hold at least the maximum capacity of the largest single compartment of any tank car or tank truck- 112.7(h)(1)	450.00
	There are no interlocked warning lights, or physical barrier system, or warning signs, or vehicle brake interlock system to prevent vehicular departure before complete disconnect from transfer lines- 112.7(h)(2).	300.00
	There is no inspection of lowermost drains and all outlets prior to filling and departure of any tank car or tank truck- 112.7(h)(3).	150.00
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility tank car and tank truck loading/unloading rack -112.7(j)	75.00

ALIFIED OIL OPERATIONAL EQUIPM L.. Γ 112.7(k) Eailure to establish and document procedures for inspections or a monitoring program to detect equipment failure d/or a discharge- 112.7(k)(2)(i)..... 150.00 Failure to provide an oil spill contingency plan- 112.7(k)(2)(ii)(A)...... 150.00 **OIL PRODUCTION FACILITY DRAINAGE 112.9(b)** Drains for the secondary containment systems at tank batteries and separation and central treating areas are not closed and sealed at all times except when uncontaminated rainwater is being drained- 112.9(b)(1)600.00 Prior to drainage of diked areas, rainwater is not inspected, valves opened and resealed under Accumulated oil on the rainwater is not removed and returned to storage or disposed of Field drainage system (drainage ditches and road ditches), oil traps, sumps and/or skimmers are not OIL PRODUCTION FACILITY BULK STORAGE CONTAINERS 112.9(c) Plan has inadequate or no risk analysis and/or evaluation of field-constructed aboveground Container material and construction are not compatible with the oil stored and the Size of secondary containment appears to be inadequate for containers and treating facilities- 112.9(c)(2)...................750.00

Visual inspections of containers, foundation and supports are not conducted periodically

1 (Bank battery installations are not	
لنبا	none of the following are present- 112.9(c)(4)	450.00
, ,	(1) Adequate tank capacity to prevent tank overfill- 112.9(c)(4)(i), or	
	(2) Overflow equalizing lines between the tanks- 112.9(c)(4)(ii), or	
	 (3) Vacuum protection to prevent tank collapse- 112.9(c)(4)(ii), or (4) High level alarms to generate and transmit an alarm signal where facilities are part of a 	
	computer control system- $112.9(c)(4)(iv)$.	
	computer control system- 112.5(c)(4)(10).	**
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks- 112.7(a)(1)	75.00
	THE THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	
	FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, OIL PRODUCTION FACILITY 112.9(D)	
===		
	Above ground valves and pipelines are not examined periodically on a scheduled basis for	•
	general condition (includes items, such as: flange joints, valve glands 2 nd bodies, drip pans,	•
	pipeline supports, bleeder and gauge valves, polish rods/stuffing box.)- 112.9(d)(1)	450.00
\Box	Brine and saltwater disposal facilities are not examined often- 112.9(d)(2)	450.00
	In dequate on no flexuline maintenance are come (included exemination, corregion protection	
	Inadequate or no flowline maintenance program (includes: examination, corrosion protection, flowline replacement)- 112.9(d)(3)	450.00
	Howard replacement, 112.9(a)(3)	
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of oil production facilities- 112.7(a)(1)	75.00
		· ·
	Plan does not include a signed copy of the Certification of the Applicability of the Substantial Harm	
	CFR Part- 112.20(e)	150.00
	(Do not use this if FRP subject, go to traditional enforcement)	